

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,927 07/25/2003		Masataka Tsutsumi	SIZE-F-REG	5409		
24120	7590	10/13/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DAVID P I	OURESK.	A	KOHNER, N	KOHNER, MATTHEW J		
BUCKINGH	IAM DOO	LITTLE & BURRO	UGHS, LLP			
4518 FULT	ON DRIVE	E. NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P O BOX 35		, -	3653			
CANTON,	OH 4473	5-5548				

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/626,927	TSUTSUMI, MASATAKA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew J. Kohner	3653					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  C (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
<ul> <li>1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju</li> <li>2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This</li> <li>3)  Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) 10-20 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) [ Applicant may not request that any objection to the or  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	relection requirement.  r.  ☐ accepted or b)⊠ objected to bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/25/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						

Application/Control Number: 10/626,927

Art Unit: 3653

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "the means for feeding particles to each of said screens from two opposing sides of each of the screens" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3653

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant's claim language in claim 1 includes the limitation of, "means for feeding particles to each of said screens from two opposing sides of each of the screens;" This language is not commensurate with the Applicant's disclosure. Applicant discloses feeding particles to each screen from a spreader tray disposed above each of the trays (see specification, page 2). Further, Applicant discloses the particles are retained on each spreader tray by a lip which causes the particles to be encouraged by the vibration of the screen box to fall through the perforations (not shown) defined in the spreader tray (see specification page 8). In this manner, each spreader tray provides a rainfall-like distribution of particulate material on each respective screen (see specification, page 8). Therefore, while Applicant has disclosed and described feeding particles from opposite sides of the spreader trays, the Applicant has not disclosed in the specification or drawings any indication of how particles are fed from two opposing sides of the screen.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 3653

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 2,191,928 to Cecka in view of US Patent No. 3,439,800 to Tonjes.

Cecka discloses a particle sizing and separating apparatus, comprising:

a base (25);

a frame (at least two frames 12) movably mounted on the base;

at least two screens (56) mounted on the frame;

means for feeding particles to each of said screens from two opposing sides of each of the screens (see e.g. Fig. 1 or 4 wherein inlet ducts 15 feed particles to opposing sides of the screens);

a pan (60) disposed beneath each screen to receive sized particles that pass through the screens; and an outlet (11) to receive oversize particles that pass over the screens.

Cecka does not disclose a motor assembly for vibrating the frame. Instead, he discloses a crank (29) and shaft (28) which impart rotary motion to the screening box. However, it is well known in the art, and further, would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, that a motor could be used for the same purpose of providing rotary motion such as vibration to the screening box (see e.g. US Patent No. 3,439,800 to Tonjes). Further in regard to claim 8, it is well known that vibratory systems employ springs (see e.g. Tonjes Fig. 1, #23). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that springs, such as disclosed by Tonjes, should be used in the vibratory system.

In regard to claims 2, Cecka discloses carrier flights (66) in the form of short plates or vanes arranged above each sieve at one side thereof to deflect the material across the sieve toward the discharge end thereof.

In regard to claim 3, Cecka disclose a screen box (70).

In regard to claims 4 and 5, Cecka discloses carrier flights (66) in the form of short plates or vanes arranged above each sieve at one side thereof to deflect the material across the sieve toward the discharge end thereof. Therefore, the vanes control the flow of the particles throughout the sieves and hence together they comprise a flow control system.

In regard to claims 6 and 7, see Fig. 1.

In regard to claim 9, Cecka discloses tube 63 for conveying undersize particles which have passed through the screen and tube 63 is in fluid communication with the pans (60) (see page 4, col. 1 lines 43-72).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-20 are allowed.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Kohner whose telephone number is 571-272-6939. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,927

Art Unit: 3653

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh can be reached on 571-272-6944. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew J. Kohner Examiner Art Unit 3653 Page 6

mjk

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600